

Common Ion EffectThe common ion effect is the shift in equilibrium causedby the addition of a compound having an ion in commonwith the dissolved substance.The presence of a common ion suppresses the ionizationof a weak base.Consider mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>COONa (strong electrolyte) andCH<sub>3</sub>COONa (s)  $\rightarrow$  Na<sup>+</sup>(aq) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>(aq)CH<sub>3</sub>COONa(s)  $\rightarrow$  Na<sup>+</sup>(aq) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>(aq)CH<sub>3</sub>COOH(aq) É H<sup>+</sup>(aq) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>(aq)

# Henderson-Hasselbalch Equation Consider mixture of salt NaA and weak acid HA. NaA(s) $\rightarrow$ Na<sup>+</sup>(aq) + A<sup>-</sup>(aq) HA(aq) É H<sup>+</sup>(aq) + A<sup>-</sup>(aq) $\begin{bmatrix} H^+ \end{bmatrix} = \frac{K_a \begin{bmatrix} HA \\ A^- \end{bmatrix}$ Henderson-Hasselbalch equation $-\log \begin{bmatrix} H^+ \end{bmatrix} = -\log K_a - \log \begin{bmatrix} HA \\ A^- \end{bmatrix}$ Henderson-Hasselbalch equation $-\log \begin{bmatrix} H^+ \end{bmatrix} = -\log K_a + \log \begin{bmatrix} A^- \\ HA \end{bmatrix}$ pH = pK<sub>a</sub> + log $\frac{[conjugate base]}{[acid]}$ pH = pK<sub>a</sub> + log $\frac{[conjugate base]}{[acid]}$



#### Strategy

- a) We calculate  $[H^+]$  and hence the pH of the solution by following the procedure in Example 15.8.
- b) CH<sub>3</sub>COOH is a weak acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH  $\leftrightarrow$  CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup>), and CH<sub>3</sub>COONa is a soluble salt that is completely dissociated in solution (CH<sub>3</sub>COONa  $\rightarrow$  Na<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>).

The common ion here is the acetate ion, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>. At equilibrium, the major species in solution are CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>O. The Na<sup>+</sup> ion has no acid or base properties and we ignore the ionization of water. Because  $K_a$  is an equilibrium constant, its value is the same whether we have just the acid or a mixture of the acid and its salt in solution. Therefore, we can calculate [H<sup>+</sup>] at equilibrium and hence pH if we know both CH<sub>3</sub>COOH and [CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>] at equilibrium.

5

O McGraw Hill LLO



# Example 16.1 4 Assuming $0.20 - x \approx 0.20$ , we obtain $1.8 \times 10^{-5} = \frac{x^2}{0.20 - x} \approx \frac{x^2}{0.20}$ or $x = [H^+] = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} M$ Thus, $pH = -\log(1.9 \times 10^{-3}) = 2.72$

	Example	e 16.1 5	
Sodium acetate is a completely in solu	a strong electro tion:	lyte, so it diss	sociates
CH <sub>2</sub> COON	$a(aa) \rightarrow Na^+$	$aa) + CH_{2}CO$	$O^{-}(aa)$
	0.30	M = 0.30	M
<b>F1 1 1 1</b>		1 ~ 1	
The initial concent of the species invo	rations, change lved in the equ CH <sub>3</sub> COOH( <i>aq</i> )	es, and final control ilibrium are $(\leftrightarrow H^+(aq) + (aq))$	oncentrations CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> ( <i>aq</i> )
The initial concent of the species invo Initial ( <i>M</i> ):	rations, change lved in the equ CH <sub>3</sub> COOH ( <i>aq</i> ) 0.20	ilibrium are $( aq ) \leftrightarrow H^+(aq) + 0$	oncentrations CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> $(aq)$ 0.30
The initial concent of the species invo Initial ( <i>M</i> ): Change ( <i>M</i> ):	rations, change lved in the equ CH <sub>3</sub> COOH ( <i>aq</i> ) 0.20 -x	es, and final co ilibrium are ) $\leftrightarrow H^+(aq) + 0$ +x	CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> $(aq)$ 0.30 +x



# **Example 16.1** 7 **Check** Comparing the results in (a) and (b), we see that when the common ion (CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>) is present, according to Le Châtelier's principle, the equilibrium shifts from right to left. This action decreases the extent of ionization of the weak acid. Consequently, fewer H<sup>+</sup> ions are produced in (b) and the pH of the solution is higher than that in (a). As always, you should check the validity of the assumptions.





#### Example 16.2 <sup>2</sup>

#### Strategy

What constitutes a buffer system? Which of the preceding solutions contains a weak acid and its salt (containing the weak conjugate base)? Which of the preceding solutions contains a weak base and its salt (containing the weak conjugate acid)? Why is the conjugate base of a strong acid not able to neutralize an added acid?

13

C McGraw Hill LLO



- a) Calculate the pH of a buffer system containing 1.0 *M* CH<sub>3</sub>COOH and 1.0 *M* CH<sub>3</sub>COONa.
- b) What is the pH of the buffer system after the addition of 0.10 mole of gaseous HCl to 1.0 L of the solution? Assume that the volume of the solution does not change when the HCl is added.

15

O McGraw Hill LL

# Example 16.3 2 Strategy a) The pH of the buffer system before the addition of HCl can be calculated with the procedure described in Example 16.1, because it is similar to the common ion problem. The K<sub>a</sub> of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH is 1.8×10<sup>-5</sup> (see Table 15.3). b) The reaction describing the buffer action, in this case, is CH<sub>3</sub>COOH<sup>-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup> → CH<sub>3</sub>COOH.





	Exar	nple 16	<b>5.3</b> 5		
b)	When HCl is added to the solu	ation, the init	tial changes a	are	
		HCl(aq)	$\rightarrow$ H <sup>+</sup> (ac	(q) Cl <sup>-</sup> $(aq)$	
	Initial (mol):	0.10	0	0	
	Change (mol):	-0.10	+0.10	+0.10	
	Final (mol):	0	0.10	0.10	
deGraw Hill L	The Cl <sup>-</sup> ion is a spectator i conjugate base of a strong a strong acid HCl react comp buffer, which is CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> . work with moles rather that cases the volume of the soli added. A change in volume number of moles.	on in solution acid. The H <sup>+</sup> letely with th At this point n molarity. T ution may ch will change	n because it i ions provide ne conjugate t it is more co he reason is lange when a the molarity	is the ed by the base of the onvenient to that in some substance is , but not the	19

Ez	xample 1	6.3 6		
The neutralization reaction	is summarized	l next:		
	CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> (aq	$() + H^+(aq)$	$\rightarrow$ CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	( <i>aq</i> )
Initial (mol):	1.0	0.10	1.0	
Change (mol):	-0.10	-0.10	+0.10	
Final (mol):	0.9	0	1.1	
Finally, to calculate the pH acid, we convert back to m solution.	of the buffer a olarity by divid	fter neutral ling moles	ization of the by 1.0 L of	

	Exan	nple 1	6.3 7	
Initial (M):	CH <sub>3</sub> CO	$OH(aq) \leftarrow$	$\rightarrow$ H <sup>+</sup> (aq) +	$CH_3COO^-(aq)$
initial ( <i>M</i> ).		1.1	0	0.90
Change (M):		- <i>x</i>	+ x	+ x
Equilibrium ( <i>M</i> ):		1.1 - x	x	0.90 + x
1	$K_{\rm a} = \frac{\left[ \rm H \right]}{\left[ \rm H \right]}$ $.8 \times 10^{-5} = \frac{\left( x \right)}{\left[ \rm H \right]}$	$\frac{1}{CH_{3}COO} = \frac{1}{CH_{3}COO} + \frac{1}{CH_{3$	DO-] H] )	
Hall LLC				

Example 16.3 s
Assuming $0.90 + x \approx 0.09$ and $1.1 - x \approx 1.1$ , we obtain
$1.8 \times 10^{-5} = \frac{(x)(0.90+x)}{(1.1-x)} \approx \frac{x(0.90)}{1.1}$
or $x = [H^+] = 2.2 \times 10^{-5} M$
Thus,
$pH = -\log(2.2 \times 10^{-5}) = 4.66$
Check
The pH decreases by only a small amount upon the addition of HCl. This is consistent with the action of a buffer solution.

22

© McGraw Hill LLC





## Example 16.4 $_{2}$

#### Strategy

For a buffer to function effectively, the concentrations of the acid component must be roughly equal to the conjugate base component. According to Equation (16.4), when the desired pH is close to the  $pK_a$  of the acid, that is, when  $pH \approx pK_a$ ,



25

# **Example 16.4** 3 **Solution** Because phosphoric acid is a triprotic acid, we write the three stages of ionization as follows. The $K_a$ values are obtained from Table 15.5 and the $pK_a$ values are found by applying Equation (16.3). H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (*aq*) à à à H<sup>+</sup> (*aq*) + H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (*aq*) $K_{a_1} = 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ; $pK_{a_1} = 2.21$ H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (*aq*) à à à H<sup>+</sup> (*aq*) + HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (*aq*) $K_{a_2} = 6.2 \times 10^{-8}$ ; $pK_{a_2} = 7.21$ HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (*aq*) à à A H<sup>+</sup> (aq) + PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> (*aq*) $K_{a_3} = 4.8 \times 10^{-13}$ ; $pK_{a_3} = 12.32$

26

© McGraw Hill LL0

The most suitable of the three buffer systems is  $HPO_4^{2-}/H_2PO_4^{-}$ , because the  $pK_a$  of the acid  $H_2PO_4^{-}$  is closest to the desired pH. From the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation we write

















#### Strategy

The reaction between CH<sub>3</sub>COOH and NaOH is

 $CH_3COOH(aq) + NaOH(aq) \rightarrow CH_3COONa(aq) + H_2O(l)$ 

We see that 1 mol  $CH_3COOH = 1$  mol NaOH. Therefore, at every stage of the titration we can calculate the number of moles of base reacting with the acid, and the pH of the

solution is determined by the excess acid or base left over. At the equivalence point, however, the neutralization is complete and the pH of the solution will depend on the extent of the hydrolysis of the salt formed, which is CH<sub>3</sub>COONa.

35

© McGraw Hill LLC

Example 16.5 3	
Solution	
a) The number of moles of NaOH in 10.0 mL is	
$10.0 \text{ mL} \times \frac{0.100 \text{ mol NaOH}}{11 \text{ NaOH soln}} \times \frac{11 \text{ L}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 1.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$	
The number of moles of CH <sub>3</sub> COOH originally present in 25.0 mL of solution is	
$25.0 \text{ mL} \times \frac{0.100 \text{ mol CH}_3\text{COOH}}{1 \text{ L CH}_3\text{COOH soln}} \times \frac{1 \text{ L}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 2.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$	
We work with moles at this point because when two solutions are mixed, the solution volume increases. As the volume increases, molarity will change but the number of moles will remain the same.	
sGnw Hill LLC 33	6

#### Example 16.5 4 The changes in number of moles are summarized next: $CH_3COOH(aq) + NaOH(aq) \rightarrow CH_3COONa(aq) + H_2O(l)$ Initial (mol): $2.50 \times 10^{-3}$ $1.00 \times 10^{-3}$ 0 Change (mol): $-1.00\!\times\!10^{-3} -\!1.00\!\times\!10^{-3} +\!1.00\!\times\!10^{-3}$ $1.00 \times 10^{-3}$ Final (mol): $1.50 \times 10^{-3}$ 0 At this stage we have a buffer system made up of $\rm CH_3COOH$ and $CH_3COO^-$ (from the salt, $CH_3COONa$ ) Access the text alternative for slide image © McGraw Hill LLC

Example 16.5 s  
To calculate the pH of the solution, we write  

$$K_{a} = \frac{\left[H^{+}\right]\left[CH_{3}COO^{-}\right]}{\left[CH_{3}COOH\right]}$$

$$\left[H^{+}\right] = \frac{\left[CH_{3}COOH\right]K_{a}}{\left[CH_{3}COO^{-}\right]}$$

$$= \frac{\left(1.50 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)}{1.00 \times 10^{-3}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-5} M$$
Therefore,  

$$pH = -\log\left(2.7 \times 10^{-5}\right) = 4.57$$

38

b) These quantities (that is, 25.0 mL of 0.100 *M* NaOH reacting with 25.0 mL of 0.100 *M* CH<sub>3</sub>COOH) correspond to the equivalence point. The number of moles of NaOH in 25.0 mL of the solution is

 $25.0 \text{ mL} \times \frac{0.100 \text{ mol NaOH}}{1 \text{ L NaOH soln}} \times \frac{1 \text{ L}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 2.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$ 

The changes in number of moles are summarized next:

 $CH_3COOH(aq) + NaOH(aq) \rightarrow CH_3COONa(aq) + H_2O(l)$ 

 $2.50 \times 10^{-3}$ 

Initial(mol):  $2.50 \times 10^{-3}$   $2.50 \times 10^{-3}$  0 Change (mol):  $-2.50 \times 10^{-3}$   $-2.50 \times 10^{-3}$   $+2.50 \times 10^{-3}$ 

0

Access the text alternative for slide images.

0

39

McGraw Hill LLO

Final (mol):

Example 16.5 7 At the equivalence point, the concentrations of both the acid and the base are zero. The total volume is (25.0 + 25.0) mL or 50.0 mL, so the concentration of the salt is  $[CH_{3}COONa] = \frac{2.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}}{50.0 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L}}$ = 0.0500 mol/L = 0.0500 MThe next step is to calculate the pH of the solution that results from the hydrolysis of the CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> ions.

Following the procedure described in Example 15.13 and looking up the base ionization constant  $(K_b)$  for CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> in Table 15.3, we write

$$K_{\rm b} = 5.6 \times 10^{-10} = \frac{\left[{\rm CH}_{3}{\rm COOH}\right] \left[{\rm OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[{\rm CH}_{3}{\rm COO}^{-}\right]} = \frac{x^{2}}{0.0500 - x}$$
$$x = \left[{\rm OH}^{-}\right] = 5.3 \times 10^{-6} M, \text{ pH} = 8.72$$

41

C McGraw Hill LLC

	Exa	ample 1	6.5 ,	
c) After the add past the equi originally pr	dition of 35.0 valence point esent is	) mL of NaC nt. The numb	0H, the soluti per of moles of	on is well of NaOH
35.0 mI	$L \times \frac{0.100 \text{ mol}}{1 \text{ L NaOF}}$	$\frac{\text{NaOH}}{\text{H soln}} \times \frac{1}{100}$	$\frac{L}{0 \text{ mL}} = 3.50 \times$	$\times 10^{-3}$ mol
The changes	in number o	of moles are	summarized	next:
CH <sub>3</sub> CO	OH(aq) + N	laOH ( <i>aq</i> ) —	→ CH3COON	$a(aq) + H_2O(l)$
Initial(mol):	$2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.50 \times 10^{-3}$	0	
Change (mol):	$-2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	$-2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	$+2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	
Final (mol):	0	$1.00 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	
McGraw Hill LLC	Acce	ss the text alternative for slid	: images.	

At this stage we have two species in solution that are responsible for making the solution basic:  $OH^-$  and  $CH_3COO^-$  (from  $CH_3COONa$ ). However, because  $OH^-$  is a much stronger

base than  $CH_3COO^-$ , we can safely neglect the hydrolysis of the  $CH_3COO^-$  ions and calculate the pH of the solution using only the concentration of the  $OH^-$  ions. The total volume of the combined solutions is (25.0 + 35.0) mL or 60.0 mL, so we calculate  $OH^-$  concentration as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} OH^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}}{60.0 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L}}$$
$$= 0.0167 \text{ mol/L} = 0.0167 M$$
$$pOH = -\log \begin{bmatrix} OH^{-} \end{bmatrix} = -\log 0.0167 = 1.78$$
$$pH = 14.00 - 1.78 = 12.22$$

43

O McGraw Hill LLO



Calculate the pH at the equivalence point when 25.0 mL of 0.100 M NH<sub>3</sub> is titrated by a 0.100 M HCl solution.

#### 45

C McGraw Hill LLO

# Example 16.6 <sup>2</sup>

#### Strategy

The reaction between NH<sub>3</sub> and HCl is

 $NH_3(aq) + HCl(aq) \rightarrow NH_4Cl(aq)$ 

We see that 1 mol NH<sub>3</sub> = 1 mol HCl. At the equivalence point, the major species in solution are the salt NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (dissociated into NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions) and H<sub>2</sub>O. First, we determine the concentration of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl formed. Then we calculate the pH as a result of the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ion hydrolysis. The Cl<sup>-</sup> ion, being the conjugate base of a strong acid HCl, does not react with water. As usual, we ignore the ionization of water.

46

© McGraw Hill LLC

#### Solution

The number of moles of NH<sub>3</sub> in 25.0 mL of 0.100 M solution is

$$25.0 \text{ mL} \times \frac{0.100 \text{ mol NH}_3}{1 \text{ L NH}_3} \times \frac{1 \text{ L}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 2.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$$

At the equivalence point the number of moles of HCl added equals the number of moles of  $NH_3$ . The changes in number of moles are summarized below:

	$NH_3(aq) + HCl(aq) \rightarrow NH_4Cl(aq)$				
	Initial(mol):	$2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	0	
	Change (mol):	$-2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	$-2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	$+2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	
	Final (mol):	0	0	$2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	
		Access the text alto	emative for slide images.		
raw Hill LLC					

47

© McC



Example 16.6 s Step 1: We represent the hydrolysis of the cation $NH_4^+$ and let x be the equilibrium concentration of $NH_3$ and $H^+$ ions in mol/L:				
	$\operatorname{NH}_{4}^{+}(aq)$	$\rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}(aq)$	$+ \mathrm{H}^{+}(aq)$	
Initial (mol):	0.0500	0.000	0.000	
Change (mol):	-x	+x	+x	
Equilibrium (mol):	(0.0500 - x)	x	x	
© McGraw Hill LLC				49



#### Check

Note that the pH of the solution is acidic. This is what we would expect from the hydrolysis of the ammonium ion.

#### 51

O McGraw Hill LLO



1/8/23











# **Example 16.7** 4 **Strategy** The choice of an indicator for a particular titration is based on the fact that its pH range for color change must overlap the steep portion of the titration curve. Otherwise we cannot use the color change to locate the equivalence point.

#### Solution

- a) Near the equivalence point, the pH of the solution changes abruptly from 4 to 10. Therefore, all the indicators except thymol blue, bromophenol blue, and methyl orange are suitable for use in the titration.
- b) Here the steep portion covers the pH range between 7 and 10; therefore, the suitable indicators are cresol red and phenolphthalein.
- c) Here the steep portion of the pH curve covers the pH range between 3 and 7; therefore, the suitable indicators are bromophenol blue, methyl orange, methyl red, and chlorophenol blue.
- 59

O McGraw Hill LLO



Sol	ubility	Products	
Compound	K <sub>sp</sub>	Compound	K <sub>sp</sub>
Aluminum hydroxide [Al(OH)3]	$1.8 \times 10^{-33}$	Lead(II) chromate (PbCrO <sub>4</sub> )	$2.0 \times 10^{-14}$
Barium carbonate (BaCO <sub>3</sub> )	$8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	Lead(II) fluoride (PbF <sub>2</sub> )	$4.1 \times 10^{-8}$
Barium fluoride (BaF2)	$1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	Lead(II) iodide (PbI2)	$1.4 \times 10^{-8}$
Barium sulfate (BaSO <sub>4</sub> )	$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$	Lead(II) sulfide (PbS)	$3.4 \times 10^{-28}$
Bismuth sulfide (Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> )	$1.6 \times 10^{-72}$	Magnesium carbonate (MgCO3)	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$
Cadmium sulfide (CdS)	$8.0 \times 10^{-28}$	Magnesium hydroxide [Mg(OH) <sub>3</sub> ]	$1.2 \times 10^{-11}$
Calcium carbonate (CaCO <sub>1</sub> )	$8.7 \times 10^{-9}$	Manganese(II) sulfide (MnS)	$3.0 \times 10^{-14}$
Calcium fluoride (CaF2)	$4.0 \times 10^{-11}$	Mercury(I) chloride (Hg2Cl2)	$3.5 \times 10^{-18}$
Calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)2]	$8.0 \times 10^{-6}$	Mercury(II) sulfide (HgS)	$4.0 \times 10^{-54}$
Calcium phosphate [Ca3(PO4)2]	$1.2 \times 10^{-26}$	Nickel(II) sulfide (NiS)	$1.4 \times 10^{-24}$
Chromium(III) hydroxide [Cr(OH)3]	$3.0 \times 10^{-29}$	Silver bromide (AgBr)	$7.7 \times 10^{-13}$
Cobalt(II) sulfide (CoS)	$4.0 \times 10^{-21}$	Silver carbonate (Ag2CO3)	$8.1 \times 10^{-12}$
Copper(I) bromide (CuBr)	$4.2 \times 10^{-8}$	Silver chloride (AgCl)	$1.6 \times 10^{-10}$

Silver iodide (AgI)

Silver sulfate (Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)

Silver sulfide (Ag<sub>2</sub>S)

Tin(II) sulfide (SnS)

Zinc sulfide (ZnS)

Strontium carbonate (SrCO3)

Strontium sulfate (SrSO<sub>4</sub>)

Zinc hydroxide [Zn(OH)2]

 $8.3 \times 10^{-17}$ 

 $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 

 $6.0 \times 10^{-51}$ 

 $1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ 

 $3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $1.0 \times 10^{-26}$ 

 $1.8 \times 10^{-14}$  $3.0 \times 10^{-23}$ 

Iron(III) hydroxide [Fe(OH)3]	$1.1 \times 10^{-36}$	Strontium st
Iron(II) sulfide (FeS)	$6.0 \times 10^{-19}$	Tin(II) sulfi
Lead(II) carbonate (PbCO3)	$3.3 \times 10^{-14}$	Zinc hydrox
Lead(II) chloride (PbCl <sub>2</sub> )	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	Zinc sulfide
	A second by A second self-	
	Access the text alternat	the for since integes.

 $5.1 \times 10^{-12}$ 

 $2.2 \times 10^{-20}$ 

 $6.0 \times 10^{-37}$ 

 $1.6 \times 10^{-14}$ 

Copper(I) iodide (CuI)

Copper(II) sulfide (CuS)

Copper(II) hydroxide [Cu(OH)2]

Iron(II) hydroxide [Fe(OH)2]

61

C McGraw Hill LLC



The solubility of calcium sulfate (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) is found to be 0.67 g/L. Calculate the value of  $K_{sp}$  for calcium sulfate.



O McGraw Hill LL



#### Example 16.8 3 Solution Consider the dissociation of CaSO<sub>4</sub> in water. Let *s* be the molar solubility (in mol/L) of CaSO<sub>4</sub>. $\operatorname{CaSO}_4(s) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}^{2+}(aq) + \operatorname{SO}_4^{2-}(aq)$ 0 Initial (M): 0 Change (M): +s-S+sEquilibrium (M): S S The solubility product for CaSO<sub>4</sub> is $K_{sp} = \left\lceil \operatorname{Ca}^{2+} \right\rceil \left\lceil \operatorname{SO}_{4}^{2-} \right\rceil = s^2$ O McGraw Hill LLC



66

# Example 16.8 s Now we can calculate $K_{sp}$ : $K_{sp} = [Ca^{2+}][SO_4^{2-}]$ $= (4.9 \times 10^{-3})(4.9 \times 10^{-3})$ $= 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$

# Example 16.9 1

Using the data in Table 16.2, calculate the solubility of copper(II) hydroxide,  $Cu(OH)_2$ , in g/L.

Compound	K <sub>sp</sub>	Compound	Kap
Aluminum hydroxide [Al(OH)3]	$1.8 \times 10^{-33}$	Lead(II) chromate (PbCrO <sub>4</sub> )	$2.0 \times 10^{-14}$
Barium carbonate (BaCO3)	$8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	Lead(II) fluoride (PbF2)	$4.1 \times 10^{-8}$
Barium fluoride (BaF2)	$1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	Lead(II) iodide (PbI2)	$1.4 \times 10^{-8}$
Barium sulfate (BaSO <sub>4</sub> )	$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$	Lead(II) sulfide (PbS)	$3.4 \times 10^{-28}$
Bismuth sulfide (Bi2S3)	$1.6 \times 10^{-72}$	Magnesium carbonate (MgCO3)	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$
Cadmium sulfide (CdS)	$8.0 \times 10^{-28}$	Magnesium hydroxide [Mg(OH) <sub>2</sub> ]	$1.2 \times 10^{-11}$
Calcium carbonate (CaCO3)	$8.7 \times 10^{-9}$	Manganese(II) sulfide (MnS)	$3.0 \times 10^{-14}$
Calcium fluoride (CaF2)	$4.0 \times 10^{-11}$	Mercury(I) chloride (Hg2Cl2)	$3.5 \times 10^{-18}$
Calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)2]	$8.0 \times 10^{-6}$	Mercury(II) sulfide (HgS)	$4.0 \times 10^{-54}$
Calcium phosphate [Ca3(PO4)2]	$1.2 \times 10^{-26}$	Nickel(II) sulfide (NiS)	$1.4 \times 10^{-24}$
Chromium(III) hydroxide [Cr(OH)3]	$3.0 \times 10^{-29}$	Silver bromide (AgBr)	$7.7 \times 10^{-13}$
Cobalt(II) sulfide (CoS)	$4.0 \times 10^{-21}$	Silver carbonate (Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	$8.1 \times 10^{-12}$
Copper(I) bromide (CuBr)	$4.2 \times 10^{-8}$	Silver chloride (AgCl)	$1.6 \times 10^{-10}$
Copper(I) iodide (CuI)	$5.1 \times 10^{-12}$	Silver iodide (AgI)	$8.3 \times 10^{-17}$
Copper(II) hydroxide [Cu(OH)2]	$2.2 \times 10^{-20}$	Silver sulfate (Ag <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	$1.4 \times 10^{-5}$
Copper(II) sulfide (CuS)	$6.0 \times 10^{-37}$	Silver sulfide (Ag <sub>2</sub> S)	$6.0 \times 10^{-51}$
Iron(II) hydroxide [Fe(OH)2]	$1.6 \times 10^{-14}$	Strontium carbonate (SrCO3)	$1.6 \times 10^{-9}$
Iron(III) hydroxide [Fe(OH)3]	$1.1 \times 10^{-36}$	Strontium sulfate (SrSO <sub>4</sub> )	$3.8 \times 10^{-7}$
Iron(II) sulfide (FeS)	$6.0 \times 10^{-19}$	Tin(II) sulfide (SnS)	$1.0 \times 10^{-26}$
Lead(II) carbonate (PbCO3)	$3.3 \times 10^{-14}$	Zinc hydroxide [Zn(OH)2]	$1.8 \times 10^{-14}$
Lead(II) chloride (PbCl <sub>2</sub> )	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	Zinc sulfide (ZnS)	$3.0 \times 10^{-23}$

е мас 68

#### Strategy

We are given the  $K_{sp}$  of Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> and asked to calculate its solubility in g/L. The sequence of conversion steps, according to Figure 16.9(b), is

69

O McGraw Hill LLO



From the  $K_{sp}$  value in Table 16.2, we solve for the molar solubility of Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> as follows:

	$2.2 \times 10^{-20} = 4s^3$
	$s^{3} = \frac{2.2 \times 10^{-20}}{4} = 5.5 \times 10^{-21}$
Hence	$s = 1.8 \times 10^{-7} M$
Finally, from the mol solubility, we calcula	lar mass of Cu(OH) <sub>2</sub> and its molar ate the solubility in g/L:
solubility of Cu(O	$H)_{2} = \frac{1.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol Cu(OH)}_{2}}{1 \text{ L soln}} \times \frac{97.57 \text{ g Cu(OH)}_{2}}{1 \text{ mol Cu(OH)}_{2}}$
© McGraw Hill LLC	$= 1.8 \times 10^{-5}  \mathrm{g/L}$

71

# Relationship of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ and Molar Solubility

Table 16.3 Relationship Between  $K_{\mbox{\tiny sp}}$  and Molar Solubility (s)

Compound	K <sub>sp</sub> Expression	Cation	Anion	Relation Between $K_{sp}$ and s
AgCl	$\left[Ag^{+}\right]\left[Cl^{-}\right]$	S	S	$K_{sp} = s^2; s = \left(K_{sp}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
$\operatorname{BaSO}_4$	$\left[\operatorname{Ba}^{2*}\right]\left[\operatorname{SO}_{4}^{2}\right]$	S	S	$K_{sp} = s^2; s = \left(K_{sp}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$\left[Ag^{+}\right]^{2}\left[CO_{3}^{2-}\right]$	2s	S	$K_{sp} = 4s^3; s = \left(\frac{K_{sp}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$
PbF <sub>2</sub>	$\left[Pb^{2+}\right]\left[F^{-}\right]^{2}$	S	2 <i>s</i>	$K_{sp} = 4s^3; s = \left(\frac{K_{sp}}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$
$Al(OH)_3$	$\left[Al^{3+}\right]\left[OH^{-}\right]^{3}$	S	35	$K_{sp} = 27s^4; s = \left(\frac{K_{sp}}{27}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$
$Ca_3(PO_4)_2$	$\left[Ca^{2+}\right]^3 \left[PO_4^{3-}\right]^2$	35	2s	$K_{sp} = 108s^5; s = \left(\frac{K_{sp}}{108}\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}$
	Access	the text alternati	ve for slide imas	7 <u>05.</u>

© McGra

#### Example 16.10 1

Exactly 200 mL of 0.0040 *M* BaCl<sub>2</sub> are mixed with exactly 600 mL of 0.0080 *M* K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Will a precipitate form?

#### 73

O McGraw Hill LL

#### Example 16.10 <sup>2</sup>

#### Strategy

Under what condition will an ionic compound precipitate from solution? The ions in solution are Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. According to the solubility rules listed in Table 4.2 (p. 125), the only precipitate that can form is BaSO<sub>4</sub>. From the information given, we can calculate  $[Ba^{2+}]and[SO_4^{2-}]$  because we know the number of moles of moles of the ions in the original solutions and the volume of the combined solution. Next, we calculate the ion product Q (Q =  $[Ba^{2+}]_0 [SO_4^{2-}]_0$ ) and compare the value of Q with  $K_{sp}$  of BaSO<sub>4</sub> to see if a precipitate will form, that is, if the solution is supersaturated.

74

© McGraw Hill LLC

## Example 16.10 4

#### Solution

The number of moles of  $Ba^{2+}$  present in the original 200 mL of solution is

$$200 \text{ mL} \times \frac{0.0040 \text{ mol Ba}^{2+}}{1 \text{ L soln}} \times \frac{1 \text{ L}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 8.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol Ba}^{2+}$$

The total volume after combining the two solutions is 800 mL. The concentration of  $Ba^{2+}$  in the 800 mL volume is

$$\begin{bmatrix} Ba^{2+} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{8.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol}}{800 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L soln}}$$
$$= 1.0 \times 10^{-3} M$$

75

C McGraw Hill LLO



## Example 16.10 6

Now we must compare Q and  $K_{sp}$ . From Table 16.2,

 $\operatorname{BaSO}_{4}(s) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ba}^{2+}(aq) + \operatorname{SO}_{4}^{2-}(aq) \qquad K_{sp} = 1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ 

As for Q,  $Q = \left[ Ba^{2+} \right]_0 \left[ SO_4^{2-} \right]_0 = (1.0 \times 10^{-3}) (6.0 \times 10^{-3})$   $= 6.0 \times 10^{-6}$ 

Therefore,  $Q > K_{sp}$ 

The solution is supersaturated because the value of Q indicates that the concentrations of the ions are too large. Thus, some of the BaSO<sub>4</sub> will precipitate out of solution until

 $\left[ Ba^{2+} \right] \left[ SO_4^{2-} \right] = 1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ 

77

O McGraw Hill LL

# Example 16.11

A solution contains  $0.020 M \text{ Cl}^-$  ions and  $0.020 M \text{ Br}^-$  ions. To separate the Cl<sup>-</sup> ions from the Br<sup>-</sup>ions, solid AgNO<sub>3</sub> is slowly added to the solution without changing the volume. What concentration of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions (in mol/L) is needed to precipitate as much AgBr as possible without precipitating AgCl?

78

© McGraw Hill LLC

#### Example 16.11 2

#### Strategy

In solution, AgNO<sub>3</sub> dissociates into Ag<sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ions. The Ag<sup>+</sup> ions then combine with the Cl<sup>-</sup> and Br<sup>-</sup> ions to form AgCl and AgBr precipitates. Because AgBr is less soluble (it has a smaller  $K_{sp}$  than that of AgCl), it will precipitate first. Therefore, this is a fractional precipitation problem. Knowing the concentrations of Cl<sup>-</sup> and Br<sup>-</sup> ions, we can calculate [Ag<sup>+</sup>] from the  $K_{sp}$  values. Keep in mind that  $K_{sp}$  refers to a saturated solution. To initiate precipitation, [Ag<sup>+</sup>] must exceed concentration in the saturated solution in each case.

79

O McGraw Hill LLO

# **Example 16.11** 3 **Solution** The solubility equilibrium for AgBr is $AgBr(s) \leftrightarrow Ag^+(aq) + Br^-(aq) \quad K_{sp} = [Ag^+][Br^-]$ Because $[Br^-] = 0.020 M$ , the concentration of $Ag^+$ that must be exceeded to initiate the precipitation of AgBr is $[Ag^+] = \frac{K_{sp}}{[Br^-]} = \frac{7.7 \times 10^{-13}}{0.020}$ $= 3.9 \times 10^{-11} M$ Thus, $[Ag^+] > 3.9 \times 10^{-11} M$ is required to start the precipitation of AgBr.

## Example 16.11 4

The solubility equilibrium for AgCl is

 $\operatorname{AgCl}(s) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ag}^{+}(aq) + \operatorname{Cl}^{-}(aq) \qquad \qquad K_{sp} = \left[\operatorname{Ag}^{+}\right] \left[\operatorname{Cl}^{-}\right]$ 

so that

$$\left[ Ag^{+} \right] = \frac{K_{sp}}{\left[ Cl^{-} \right]} = \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-10}}{0.020}$$
  
= 8.0 × 10<sup>-9</sup> M

Therefore  $\left[ Ag^{+} \right] > 8.0 \times 10^{-9} M$ 

is needed to initiate the precipitation of AgCl. To precipitate the Br<sup>-</sup> ions as AgBr without precipitating the  $Cl^-$  ions as AgCl, then,  $[Ag^+]$  must be greater than  $3.9 \times 10^{-11} M$  and lower than  $8.0 \times 10^{-9} M$ .

81

© McGraw Hill LLC

the Common Ion Effect and Solubility of the salt.

# Example 16.12 1

Calculate the solubility of silver chloride (in g/L) in a  $6.5 \times 10^{-3} M$  silver nitrate solution.

#### 83

C McGraw Hill LLO

Example 16.12 <sup>2</sup>

#### Strategy

This is a common-ion problem. The common ion here is  $Ag^+$ , which is supplied by both AgCl and AgNO<sub>3</sub>. Remember that the presence of the common ion will affect only the solubility of AgCl (in g/L), but not the  $K_{sp}$  value because it is an equilibrium constant.

84

© McGraw Hill LLC





# Example 16.12 5

Because AgCl is quite insoluble and the presence of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions from AgNO<sub>3</sub> further lowers the solubility of AgCl, *s* must be very small compared with  $6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ . Therefore, applying the approximation  $6.5 \times 10^{-3} + s \approx 6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ , we obtain

$$1.6 \times 10^{-10} = (6.5 \times 10^{-3}) s$$
$$s = 2.5 \times 10^{-8} M$$

Step 4: At equilibrium

$$\left[\operatorname{Ag}^{+}\right] = \left(6.5 \times 10^{-3} + 2.5 \times 10^{-8}\right) M \approx 6.5 \times 10^{-3} M$$
$$\left[\operatorname{Cl}^{-}\right] = 2.5 \times 10^{-8} M$$

87

O McGraw Hill LL



## Example 16.12 7

#### Check

The solubility of AgCl in pure water is  $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$  g/L (see the Practice Exercise in Example 16.9). Therefore, the lower solubility  $(3.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g/L})$  in the presence of AgNO<sub>3</sub> is reasonable. You should also be able to predict the lower solubility using LeChâtelier's principle. Adding Ag<sup>+</sup> ions shifts the equilibrium to the left, thus decreasing the solubility of AgCl.

89

O McGraw Hill LL



## Example 16.13 1

Which of the following compounds will be more soluble in acidic solution than in water:

a) CuS

b) AgCl

c) PbSO<sub>4</sub>

91

C McGraw Hill LLC

# Example 16.13 <sup>2</sup>

#### Strategy

In each case, write the dissociation reaction of the salt into its cation and anion. The cation will not interact with the  $H^+$ ion because they both bear positive charges. The anion will act as a proton acceptor only if it is the conjugate base of a weak acid. How would the removal of the anion affect the solubility of the salt?

92

© McGraw Hill LLC

## Example 16.13 3

#### Solution

a) The solubility equilibrium for CuS is

 $\operatorname{CuS}(s) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Cu}^{2+}(aq) + \operatorname{S}^{2-}(aq)$ 

The sulfide ion is the conjugate base of the weak acid  $\rm HS^-.$  Therefore, the  $\rm S^{2-}$  ion reacts with the  $\rm H^+$  ion as follows:

 $S^{2^{-}}(aq) + H^{+}(aq) \rightarrow HS^{-}(aq)$ 

This reaction removes the  $S^{2-}$  ions from solution. According to Le Châtelier's principle, the equilibrium will shift to the right to replace some of the  $S^{2-}$  ions that were removed, thereby increasing the solubility of CuS.

93

O McGraw Hill LLC



## Example 16.13 5

c) The solubility equilibrium for PbSO<sub>4</sub> is

 $PbSO_4(s) \leftrightarrow Pb^{2+}(aq) + SO_4^{2-}(aq)$ 

The sulfate ion is a weak base because it is the conjugate base of the weak acid  ${\rm HSO}_4^-.$ 

Therefore, the ion reacts with the H<sup>+</sup> ion as follows:

$$\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(aq) + \mathrm{H}^{+}(aq) \rightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(aq)$$

This reaction removes the  $SO_4^{2-}$  ions from solution. According to Le Châtelier's principle, the equilibrium will shift to the right to replace some of the  $SO_4^{2-}$  ions that were removed, thereby increasing the solubility of PbSO<sub>4</sub>.

95

© McGraw Hill LLC



#### Example 16.14 2

#### Strategy

For iron(II) hydroxide to precipitate from solution, the product  $[Fe^{2^+}][OH^-]^2$  must be greater than its  $K_{sp}$ . First, we calculate  $[OH^-]$  from the known $[Fe^{2^+}]$  and the  $K_{sp}$ 

value listed in Table 16.2. This is the concentration of  $OH^-$  in a saturated solution of  $Fe(OH)_2$ . Next, we calculate the concentration of  $NH_3$  that will supply this concentration of  $OH^-$  ions. Finally, any  $NH_3$  concentration greater than the calculated value will initiate the precipitation of  $Fe(OH)_2$  because the solution will become supersaturated.

97

O McGraw Hill LL

Example 16.14 3

Solution

Ammonia reacts with water to produce  $OH^-$  ions, which then react with  $Fe^{2+}$  to form  $Fe(OH)_2$ . The equilibria of interest are

 $NH_{3}(aq) + H_{2}O(l) \leftrightarrow NH_{4}^{+}(aq) + OH^{-}(aq)$  $Fe^{2+}(aq) + 2OH^{-}(aq) \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_{2}(s)$ 

First we find the  $OH^-$  concentration above which  $Fe(OH)_2$  begins to precipitate. We write

$$K_{\rm sp} = \left[ {\rm Fe}^{2+} \right] \left[ {\rm OH}^{-} \right]^2 = 1.6 \times 10^{-14}$$

98

© McGraw Hill LLC

# Example 16.14 4

Because  $\text{FeCl}_2$  is a strong electrolyte,  $[\text{Fe}^{2-}] = 0.0030 M$  and

$$\left[OH^{-}\right]^{2} = \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-14}}{0.0030} = 5.3 \times 10^{-12}$$
$$\left[OH^{-}\right] = 2.3 \times 10^{-6} M$$

Next, we calculate the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> that will supply  $2.3 \times 10^{-6} M \text{ OH}^-$  ions. Let *x* be the initial concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> in mol/L.

99

© McGraw Hill LLC

]	Example 16	.14 5		
We summarize the ch from the ionization of	anges in concentr f NH3 as follows.	ations resulti	ng	
N	$H_3(aq) + H_2O(l)$	$) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(a)$	q)+OH <sup>-</sup> ( $aq$	)
Initial (M):	x	0.00	0.00	
Change (M):	$-2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	$+2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	$+2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	
Equilibrium (M)	$(x-2.3 \times 10^{-6})$	$2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	
Substituting the equil expression for the ion	ibrium concentrat ization constant (	ions in the see Table 15.	4),	
feGraw Hill LLC	Access the text alternative for slide in	1842 <u>5.</u>		





Table 16.4 Form	ation Constants of Selected Complex Ion	is in Water at 25°C
Complex Ion	Equilibrium Expression	Formation Constant (K <sub>f</sub>
Ag(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub>	$Ag^+ + 2NH_3 \implies Ag(NH_3)_2^+$	$1.5 \times 10^{7}$
$Ag(CN)_2^-$	$Ag^+ + 2CN^- \implies Ag(CN)_2^-$	$1.0 \times 10^{21}$
$Cu(CN)_4^{2-}$	$Cu^{2+} + 4CN^{-} \implies Cu(CN)_4^{2-}$	$1.0 \times 10^{25}$
$Cu(NH_3)_4^{2+}$	$Cu^{2+} + 4NH_3 \implies Cu(NH_3)_4^{2+}$	$5.0 \times 10^{13}$
$Cd(CN)_4^{2-}$	$Cd^{2+} + 4CN^{-} \implies Cd(CN)_4^{2-}$	$7.1 \times 10^{16}$
$CdI_4^{2-}$	$Cd^{2+} + 4I^- \implies CdI_4^{2-}$	$2.0 \times 10^{6}$
$HgCl_4^{2-}$	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} + 4\mathrm{Cl}^- \implies \mathrm{Hg}\mathrm{Cl}_4^{2-}$	$1.7 \times 10^{16}$
HgI <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	$Hg^{2+} + 4I^- \implies HgI_4^{2-}$	$2.0 \times 10^{30}$
$Hg(CN)_4^{2-}$	$Hg^{2+} + 4CN^- \implies Hg(CN)_4^{2-}$	$2.5 \times 10^{41}$
Co(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> <sup>3+</sup>	$Co^{3+} + 6NH_3 \implies Co(NH_3)_6^{3+}$	$5.0 \times 10^{31}$
$Zn(NH_3)_4^{2+}$	$Zn^{2+} + 4NH_3 \implies Zn(NH_3)_4^{2+}$	$2.9 \times 10^{9}$



Strategy

The addition of  $\mbox{CuSO}_4$  to the  $\mbox{NH}_3$  solution results in complex ion formation

 $\operatorname{Cu}^{2+}(aq) + 4\operatorname{NH}_{3}(aq) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Cu}(\operatorname{NH}_{3})_{4}^{2+}(aq)$ 

From Table 16.4 we see that the formation constant ( $K_r$ ) for this reaction is very large; therefore, the reaction lies mostly to the right. At equilibrium, the concentration of Cu<sup>2+</sup> will be very small. As a good approximation, we can assume that essentially all the dissolved Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions end up as Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup> ions. How many moles of NH<sub>3</sub> will react with 0.20 mole of Cu<sup>2+</sup>? How many moles of Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup> will be produced? A very small amount of Cu<sup>2+</sup> will be present at equilibrium. Set up the K<sub>r</sub> expression for the preceding equilibrium to solve for [Cu<sup>2+</sup>].

105

© McGraw Hill LLO



Solving for x and keeping in mind that the volume of the solution is 1 L, we obtain

$$x = \left[ Cu^{2+} \right] = 1.6 \times 10^{-13} M$$

Check

The small value of  $\left[ Cu^{2+} \right]$  at equilibrium, compared with 0.20 *M*, certainly justifies our approximation

107

© McGraw Hill LLC



## Example 16.16 <sup>2</sup>

#### Strategy

AgCl is only slightly soluble in water

 $\operatorname{AgCl}(s) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ag}^{+}(aq) + \operatorname{Cl}^{-}(aq)$ 

The Ag<sup>+</sup> ions form a complex ion with NH<sub>3</sub> (see Table 16.4)

$$\operatorname{Ag}^{+}(aq) + 2\operatorname{NH}_{3}(aq) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ag}(\operatorname{NH}_{3})_{2}^{+}$$

Combining these two equilibria will give the overall equilibrium for the process.

109

O McGraw Hill LLO



## Example 16.16 4

The equilibrium constant for the overall reaction is the product of the equilibrium constants of the individual reactions (see Section 14.2):

$$K = K_{sp}K_{f} = \frac{\left[Ag(NH_{3})_{2}^{+}\right]\left[Cl^{-}\right]}{\left[NH_{3}\right]^{2}}$$
$$= (1.6 \times 10^{-10})(1.5 \times 10^{7})$$
$$= 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$$

111

O McGraw Hill LL







# Chemistry In Action: How an Eggshell is Formed





Cations					
Table 16 E Separation of Cations into Groups According to Their Precipitation Reactions					
Group	with Various Reagents				
	cation .	Treespiceting Reagents	instrusic Compound	R <sub>sp</sub>	
1	Ag* 11-2+	HCI	AgCI Ha Cl	$1.6 \times 10^{-18}$	
	ng <sub>2</sub> ph <sup>2+</sup>		ng <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	$3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	
2	Bi3+	↓ H.S	PDCI2 Bi-S	$1.6 \times 10^{-72}$	
2	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	in acidic	CdS	8.0 × 10 <sup>-28</sup>	
	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	solutions	CuS	$6.0 \times 10^{-37}$	
	Hg <sup>2+</sup>		HgS	$4.0 \times 10^{-54}$	
	Sn <sup>2+</sup>		SnS	$1.0 \times 10^{-26}$	
3	A1 <sup>3+</sup>	H-S	Al(OH) <sub>3</sub>	$1.8 \times 10^{-33}$	
	Co <sup>2+</sup>	in basic	CoS	$4.0 \times 10^{-21}$	
	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	solutions	Cr(OH) <sub>3</sub>	$3.0 \times 10^{-29}$	
	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1	FeS	$6.0 \times 10^{-19}$	
	Mn <sup>2+</sup>		MnS	$3.0 \times 10^{-14}$	
	Ni <sup>2+</sup>		NiS	$1.4 \times 10^{-24}$	
	Zn <sup>2+</sup>	Ļ	ZnS	$3.0 \times 10^{-23}$	
4	Ba <sup>2+</sup>	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	BaCO <sub>3</sub>	$8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	
	Ca <sup>2+</sup>		CaCO <sub>3</sub>	$8.7 \times 10^{-9}$	
	Sr <sup>2+</sup>	Ļ	SrCO <sub>3</sub>	$1.6 \times 10^{-9}$	
5	K <sup>+</sup>	No precipitating	None		
	Na <sup>+</sup>	reagent	None		
	NH <sup>+</sup>		None		





